

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,807	04/01/2004	Masato Hayashi	042320	5316
38834 7590 10/31/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			RHEE, JANE J	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1795	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office A - 41 Occur		10/814,807	HAYASHI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jane Rhee	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/22/2 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)☐ 6)☒ 7)☐ 8)☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine 17 access to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the control of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the control of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the control of the c	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arriller. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)  Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Rejections Repeated

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 1-2 unpatentable over Nowobilski et al. in view of Heffelfinger et al. has been repeated as previously made in office action mailed on 5/25/2007.

#### New Rejection

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nowobilski et al. in view of Heffelfinger et al.

Nowobilski et al and Heffelfinger et al. discloses the vacuum heat insulating material in claim 1 as previously made above.

As to claim 6, Nowobilski et al. discloses wherein the resin binder is a phenol resin (col. 3 line 4).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Heffelfinger et al. teaches away from Nowobilski et al. Heffelfinger et al. does not teach away from Nowobilski et al. because

Application/Control Number: 10/814,807

Art Unit: 1745

both Heffelfinger et al. and Nowobilski et al. discloses vacuum insulated panels comprising compressed fiberglass (see abstract of both references). Heffelfinger et al. teaches 'binderless' meaning the absence of binder materials *or the presence of only small amounts of such binder materials amounting to no more than one percent by weight of insulation product* (col. 5 lines 3-5) for the purpose of providing greater movement of the fibers (col. 5 line 2). Heffelfinger al. does not teach away from Nowobliski et al. because both references use binder material and the difference between the two references is that Heffelfinger et al. uses less binder material than Nowobilski et al., however both references teaches vacuum sealing the panel to provide compressed fiberglass state creating the same product.

In response to applicant's argument that Heffelfinger et al. does not correspond to the claimed "molded product", Heffelfinger et al. discloses that the insulation assembly is vacuum sealed, therefore the fibers inside the cavity are molded to the cavity by the vacuum therefore providing a molded product (abstract).

In response to applicant's argument that Nowobliski et al. teaches away from Heffelinger et al., Nowobliski et al. does not teach away from Heffelinger et al. because Nowobliski et al. does not state that using a binder amounting to no more than 1 percent would not provide a smoothened surface on the shape article. There is no direct teaching away disclosed by Nowobliski et al. Nowobliski et al. merely discloses that it is preferable to use binder from 10-20 weight percent of the shaped article.

In response to applicant's argument concerning unexpected results, applicant's unexpected results in the thermal conductivity of the binder amounts, since Heffelinger

Art Unit: 1745

et al. discloses the binder amount desired by the applicant, it is inherent that the resin binder coating has excellent thermal conductivity as found by the applicant.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane Rhee whose telephone number is 571-272-1499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,807

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jane Rhee

October 17,2007